

# SURVEY METRICS

J. M. CORDES  
OCTOBER 17, 2007  
VERSION 1.21

## INTRODUCTION

Much of the SKA's science case involves large-scale surveys that pose particular and extreme demands on the system design. However, the different kinds of surveys (blind, multiple targets, continuum, spectral line, time domain) and the recognized need for deep integrations on small numbers of targets have conflicting requirements. This document develops a variety of metrics that can be used for optimizing performance against design. Some of these were considered in SKA Memos 66 and 85<sup>1</sup>. A common metric — survey speed — is useful for considering survey throughput, but it is typically considered for steady sources and without consideration of the significance level in the detection scheme, which differs for continuum and spectral line surveys. Also, survey speed does not take into account source variability, which can be extreme for known transient sources. These additional issues are considered here. Detailed derivations are given in a separate document.<sup>2</sup>

## SURVEY METRICS

In the following we assume that a survey is conducted through a raster scan of a total solid angle  $\Omega_s$  in a time  $T_s$ . The integration time per sky position  $\tau$  depends on the instantaneous solid angle that is sampled,  $\Omega_i$  as, roughly,  $\tau = T_s(\Omega_i/\Omega_s)$ . For simplicity we assume that the gain is uniform across the sampled field of view. A more precise treatment of a particular survey would need to include non-uniform gain.

### I. Steady Sources

**Survey Speed:** The survey speed is

$$\text{SS} = \frac{\Omega_i}{\tau} = \frac{BS_{\min}^2}{2k^2} \left( \frac{N_{\text{FoV}}\Omega_{\text{FoV}}}{N_{\text{sa}}} \right) \left( \frac{f_c A_e}{mT_{\text{sys}}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Omega_i \equiv N_{\text{sa}} N_{\text{FoV}} \Omega_{\text{FoV}}$$

$\Omega_{\text{FoV}}$  = field of view, the size of one single-dish pixel

$N_{\text{FoV}}$  = number of fields of view (i.e. the number of pixels in a multiple-feed cluster or phased-array feed)

$N_{\text{sa}}$  = number of subarrays into which the collecting area is divided, assumed to be equal sized, with consequent reduction in gain and increase in instantaneous field of view

$B$  = bandwidth

$S_{\min}$  = minimum detectable flux density given by (assuming two polarization channels are summed)

$$S_{\min} = \frac{m \times \text{SEFD}}{\sqrt{2B\tau}} = \frac{2m k T_{\text{sys}} N_{\text{sa}}}{A_e \sqrt{2B\tau}} \quad (2)$$

SEFD = system equivalent flux density of a subarray

$m$  = threshold signal-to-noise ratio

$A_e$  = effective area of the *entire* array

$f_c$  = fraction of  $A_e$  that is usable in the survey, e.g. antennas in the core

$T_{\text{sys}}$  = system temperature.

As defined, SS has proper physical units (solid angle per unit time). However, a more useful form dispenses with some of the constants, leading to a figure of merit based on survey speed:

$$\text{FoMSS} = B \left( \frac{N_{\text{FoV}}\Omega_{\text{FoV}}}{N_{\text{sa}}} \right) \left( \frac{f_c A_e}{mT_{\text{sys}}} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

<sup>1</sup> SKA Memo 66 *Relative Sensitivities of the SKA and Other Current or Near-Future Instruments*, 2005, D. Jones; SKA Memo 85, *Discovery and Understanding with the SKA* (Appendix A), 2006, J. Cordes et al. [www.skatelescope.org/pages/page-astronom.htm](http://www.skatelescope.org/pages/page-astronom.htm)

<sup>2</sup> SKA Memo 97, *The SKA as a Synoptic Survey Telescope: Widefield Surveys for Transients, Pulsars and ETI*

This expression is consistent with the common form often used, FoV  $(A_e/T_{\text{sys}})^2 B$ , (sometimes without the bandwidth factor) but makes explicit for SKA applications that only the core array is usable for some surveys and that the survey speed depends on the significance level,  $m$ . It also shows the explicit dependence on number of fields of view and subarrays. It is clear that  $N_{\text{sa}} = 1$  maximizes survey speed. However, there are cases where instantaneous sky coverage may override sheer survey speed, such as the case where very rare, fast transients are sought (see below).

Optimizations that fix the survey speed FoMSS must consider tradeoffs between field of view,  $(A_e/T_{\text{sys}})^2$  and processed bandwidth.

**Volume Surveyed:** The number of sources detected is proportional to the volume surveyed ( $V_s$ ) for an unbounded population. Quantifying  $V_s$  leads to a survey metric that is related to FoMSS. Consider a raster-scan type survey, as before, where the total solid angle  $\Omega_s$  and total time  $T_s$  to scan it are held fixed. The volume surveyed is  $V_s = \frac{1}{3}\Omega_s D_{\text{max}}^3$ , where  $\Omega_s = 4\pi f_{\text{sky}}$  is the total solid angle surveyed, taken to be a fraction  $f_{\text{sky}}$  of the entire sky, and  $D_{\text{max}} = (L_p/S_{\text{min}})^{1/2}$  is the maximum distance reached for a minimum detectable flux density,  $S_{\text{min}}$ , as before, and for a pseudo-luminosity  $L_p = SD^2$  for a source of flux density at some fiducial distance  $D$ . Formally, we have

$$V_s = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{L_p}{2k} \right)^{3/2} \Omega_s^{1/4} [T_s \times \text{FoMSS}]^{3/4}, \quad (4)$$

with FoMSS defined as above. Alternatively, if the survey depth  $D_{\text{max}}$  is held fixed along with the total survey time, the volume surveyed is linear in FoMSS,  $V_s \propto \text{FoMSS}$  (as in Memo 85).

**Discussion:** We conclude that FoMSS is an adequate survey metric that follows from consideration of either the rate at which solid angle is covered or volume is sampled. An underlying assumption is that the sources are distributed in flat space, that they are steady, and that the survey does not “run out” of solid angle to cover. Once a natural limit on solid angle is reached, the rate of source detection depends differently on array parameters.

**Detection rate:** The number of source detections is related to the product  $\text{FoMSS} \times T_s$ , where  $T_s$  is the total survey time; covering more sky through an increase in  $T_s$  increases the yield. However, at some point, the numbers will saturate because we run out of sky. For example, we may survey just the Galactic plane or we may wish to survey the accessible portion of the entire sky. When saturated, the only recourse for increasing the number of detections is to integrate longer per sky position. The survey yield then scales differently (more slowly) with  $T_s$ . For steady sources, the tradeoff can be made between field of view and sensitivity, but is straight forward only in the linear regime before solid-angle saturation.

**Number of trials:** Different kinds of surveys imply different significance levels  $m$  that should be taken into account when using the survey metric. Here we consider example surveys that cover the entire bandwidth  $B$ , including continuum and spectral line surveys with varying spectral resolution.

1. *Continuum Sources:* For a continuum survey with processed bandwidth  $B$ , source detection involves one statistical trial per pixel if no channelization is used in the detection analysis. The significance level  $m$  is then determined by some appropriate false alarm rate that takes into account noise and confusion.
2. *Doppler Broadened line Sources:* For a Doppler velocity width  $\Delta V$ , the number of channels and thus the approximate number of trials per pixel is  $N_{\text{trials}} \sim (c/\Delta V) \ln(1 + B/\nu) \approx (cB/\nu\Delta V)$ , where  $\nu$  is the lowest frequency in the band and the approximate equality holds for  $B \ll \nu$ . For an HI survey with  $\Delta V = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ,  $\nu = 0.5 \text{ GHz}$  and  $B = 0.5 \text{ GHz}$ , we have  $N_{\text{trials}} \approx 2100$ .
3. *SETI with constant channel width:* SETI searches with channel bandwidths  $\Delta\nu_{\text{ch}} \lesssim 1 \text{ Hz}$  yield per pixel  $N_{\text{trials}} = 10^9 (B/1 \text{ GHz})(1 \text{ Hz}/\Delta\nu_{\text{ch}})$ .
4. *Pulsar survey:* Pulsars with approximately steady pulse amplitudes may be considered here. Blind surveys require dedispersion with a set of trial dispersion measures (DMs), comparable to the number of channels across the band. The channel width is related to the target time resolution in the survey. Statistical tests are done on the power spectrum of the time series for each trial DM. The number of trials is the product of the number of trial DMs (typically thousands) and (half) the FFT length (millions), multiplied by the number of harmonic sums investigated per FFT bin (tens) and, if applicable, the number of acceleration values (hundreds to thousands). Without an acceleration search, the number of trials per pixel requires a large S/N threshold, e.g.  $m = 8$ . Acceleration searches require thresholds larger than those of SETI searches. See below for a figure of merit that applies to surveys of steady pulsars.

The number of trials per pixel varies greatly between these types of surveys, requiring different detection thresholds for fixed false-alarm probability.

## II. Intermittent Sources

Surveys of time-variable sources are challenging because there is great diversity in event rates, durations and source density. One extreme is the canonical pulsar population, which is relatively dense in the Galactic disk with large event

rates. Another comprises (potential) prompt radio bursts from gamma-ray burst sources, which occur in gamma-rays at a rate  $\sim 1 \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ hemisphere}^{-1}$ . These cases require radically different specifications in order to provide adequate sampling.

A metric that includes source intermittency can be built around the probability that an emission event occurs when a source is pointed at. We assume that the survey is conducted in raster-scan mode. Assuming Poisson statistics, the effective volume that is sampled is

$$V_{s,\text{variable}} \propto V_{s,\text{steady}} \left( \frac{\tau_{\text{eff}}}{\tau} \right)^{3/4} P_t(\eta, W, \tau), \quad (5)$$

where  $\tau_{\text{eff}} = \tau$  for steady sources or slow transients with  $W \gg \tau$ , while  $\tau_{\text{eff}} = W$  for fast transients. As an approximation we write  $\tau_{\text{eff}} = (W^2 + \tau^2)^{1/2}$ . The temporal probability factor is

$$P_t(\eta, W, \tau) = P_{\geq 1} = 1 - e^{-\eta(W^2 + \tau^2)^{1/2}}. \quad (6)$$

This factor is the probability of having one or more emission events occur from a given source in the time  $\tau$ . Note that this reckoning counts *sources* not events. The term in the exponential accounts for an event partially overlapping with the time interval  $\tau$  in which the source is in the overall field of view.

In a raster scan of total solid  $\Omega_s$  with a total instantaneous solid angle  $\Omega_i$  over a total time  $T_s$ , the time spent per sky position is  $\tau = T_s \Omega_i / \Omega_s$ , as before. The number of *detectable* sources is given by the number of sources in the volume that show events at any time during the scan time  $T_s$ . The number of actual detections depends on if a given source is pointed at and whether transient events are bright enough.  $P_t$  takes into account the pointing aspect while the volume searched takes into account the latter.

We now define two metrics for transient sources.

**Survey Metric for Transients:** First, we extend FoMSS by noting that  $\text{FoMSS} \propto V_s^{4/3}$  so the effective volume of Eq. 5 suggests a FoM for transient sources

$$\text{FoMTS} \propto N_{\text{det}}^{4/3}(TS) \propto N_{\text{det}}^{4/3}(SS) \left( \frac{\tau_{\text{eff}}}{\tau} \right) P_t^{4/3}(\eta, W, \tau), \quad (7)$$

or

$$\text{FoMTS} = \text{FoMSS} \times K(\eta W, \tau/W) \quad (8)$$

$$K(a, x) = (1 + x^2)^{-1/2} \left[ 1 - e^{-a(1+x^2)^{1/2}} \right]^{4/3} \quad (9)$$

where  $a \equiv \eta W$  is the product of event rate per source  $\eta$  and event duration  $W$ , which is a measure of the overlap of events in time, and  $x \equiv \tau/W = \Omega_i / \dot{\Omega} W$ . The quantity  $\dot{\Omega} = \Omega_s / T_s$  is the mean rate at which solid angle is surveyed. The  $K$  function is plotted in Memo 97, Appendix A.

**Completeness Coefficient for Source Detection:** We define a *completeness coefficient* as the ratio of the expected number of sources that *are* detected to the number of sources in the population that display one or more temporal events (if variable) during a survey scan:

$$C_s = \frac{N_{\text{det}}(\tau)}{N_{\text{obj}}(T_s)}. \quad (10)$$

where  $N_{\text{det}}$  is the number of sources *detected* in a survey while  $N_{\text{obj}}$  is the number of sources that are detectable, i.e. that emit a burst during a survey, whether or not they are pointed at during the event.  $C_s$  measures not just the volume that a survey samples, but also the likelihood that a source is “on” during the dwell time that it is pointed at. For a homogeneous population of sources, the completeness coefficient becomes

$$C_s = \frac{\Omega_s}{\Omega_{\text{pop}}} \left( \frac{D_{\text{max}}}{D_{\text{pop}}} \right)^3 \frac{P_t(\eta, W, \tau)}{P_t(\eta, W, T_s)}. \quad (11)$$

$D_{\text{max}}$  here is calculated using the correct effective integration time,  $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ . For steady sources or variable sources with  $\eta(W^2 + \tau^2)^{1/2} \gg 1$  the temporal probability  $P_t \rightarrow 1$  and  $C_s$  reduces to a simple volume ratio. For variable sources that have “low” rates such that  $\eta(W^2 + \tau^2)^{1/2} \ll 1$ , we have  $P_t \approx \eta(W^2 + \tau^2)^{1/2}$  and there are two regimes  $W \ll \tau$  and  $W \gg \tau$ . These are considered elsewhere for specific source classes.

The completeness coefficient defined here counts *sources*. Another can be defined that counts *events*, yielding a different form. Details can be found in Memo 97 cited on the first page.

**Discussion:** Both the FoM for transient sources and  $C_s$  put a premium on instantaneous solid angle, especially for fast, low rate transients i.e. those with short durations  $W$  and small values of  $\eta$ .

Both FoMTS and  $C_s$  have nontrivial scalings with  $W$  and  $\eta$  owing to there being different regimes in the combination of  $\eta$ ,  $W$  and  $\tau$ .

### III. Pulsars (“Steady Transients”)

Many pulsars, though time dependent, have relatively steady pulse amplitudes. A survey metric for pulsars therefore bears some resemblance to that for steady sources, but the detectability is a function of period  $P$  and dispersion measure DM.

The survey volume is  $V_s = \frac{1}{3}\Omega_s D_{\max}^3$  with  $D_{\max} = (L_p/S_{\min})^{1/2}$  as before, but now the minimum detectable flux density is written as

$$S_{\min}(P, DM) = \frac{S_{\min_1}}{h_{\Sigma}(P, DM)}, \quad (12)$$

$$S_{\min_1} = \frac{2m kT_{\text{sys}} N_{\text{sa}}}{A_e \sqrt{2B\tau}}, \quad (13)$$

where the subscript “1” on  $S_{\min_1}$  denotes the threshold for a single harmonic. The harmonic sum  $h_{\Sigma}$  is given by

$$h_{\Sigma}(P, DM) = N_h^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \left| \tilde{f}_j \right|, \quad (14)$$

where  $f_j$  is the Fourier amplitude corresponding to harmonics of a period  $P$  for a time series dedispersed using dispersion measure DM. normalized to the zero-frequency value and  $N_h$  is the number of harmonics that maximizes the sum;  $h_{\Sigma}$  is equal to unity if the signal is an undistorted sinusoid but can be much larger than unity when many harmonics ( $N_h$ ) are significant. If the pulse is heavily broadened by scattering or orbital motion,  $h_{\Sigma} \ll 1$ .

Using these definitions, the figure of merit for a pulsar survey is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FoMPSR} &= \text{FoMSS} \times h_{\Sigma}^2(P, DM) \\ &= B \left( \frac{N_{\text{FoV}} \Omega_{\text{FoV}}}{N_{\text{sa}}} \right) \left( \frac{f_c A_e}{m T_{\text{sys}}} \right)^2 h_{\Sigma}^2(P, DM). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$